AP-FECM webinar

Wed. Jan 10, 2024, 5-6 pm PST (Vancouver) **Zoom registration**: https://bit.ly/3H98u2P



Teaching about old-growth forests in a Faculty of Forestry: preservation or harvest?



Dr. John InnesFaculty of Forestry
University of British
Columbia, Canada

- Professor at UBC Forestry (Sustainable Forest Management Laboratory), Former Dean of the Faculty, and Forest Renewal BC Chair in Forest Management
- Elected Chair of the Asia Pacific Forestry Education Coordination Mechanism.
- Received several awards, including the IUFRO Scientific Achievement Award, BC Premier's Award – Innovation,
 International Forestry Achievement Award from the Canadian Institute of Forestry, and a contributor to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change that received the Nobel Peace Prize in 2007.

He is closely involved with many international projects in China and elsewhere. Having worked in the UK and Switzerland in the past, he is interested in how management practices are changing in different countries in response to international issues such as the conservation of biodiversity and climate change.

Old growth forests in British Columbia have become a highly polarizing issue, with some seeking to preserve all remaining old growth and others considering that the timber from such forests still has an important role to play in the livelihoods of local communities and the overall economy of the Province. Environmental protestors have blockaded roads and ignored court injunctions intended to allow forestry operations to continue. They have also ignored requests from the elected governments of Indigenous Peoples to leave their traditional territories. On the other hand, forestry companies continue to fell forests to the extent they are permitted to do so, regardless of local or external sensitivities. Open debate has been hindered in part by the polarization, in part by the complexities of the issues involved and in part by a lack of reliable data following years of inadequate investment by the government in forest monitoring. In this presentation, I discuss the dilemma facing anyone trying to remain impartial in this debate. In contrast, many faculty members have taken on advocacy roles (on both sides of the argument), but is this correct when teaching a student audience made up of people who could end up in careers in conservation, forest harvesting or even government?



